In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 21-0634V UNPUBLISHED

DOLORES FERNANDES,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: July 15, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Ruling on Entitlement; Concession; Table Injury; Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine; Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration (SIRVA)

Jessi Carin Huff, Maglio, Christopher & Toale, Seattle, WA, for Petitioner.

Sarah Black Rifkin, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

On January 12, 2021, Dolores Fernandes filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered from a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") as a result of a pneumococcal conjugate vaccine she received on October 11, 2018. Petition at 1. Petitioner further alleges that her vaccine-related injury lasted more than six months. Petition at ¶21. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On July 14, 2022, Respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he concedes that Petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1.

¹ Because this unpublished Ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Specifically, Respondent states that "Petitioner had no history of pain, inflammation or dysfunction in her right shoulder; her pain occurred within forty-eight hours of receipt of an intramuscular vaccination; her pain and reduced range of motion were limited to the shoulder in which the vaccine was administered; and no other condition or abnormality was identified to explain her symptoms." *Id.* at 7. Respondent further agrees that "the statutory six month sequela requirement has been satisfied." *Id.*

In view of Respondent's position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran Brian H. Corcoran Chief Special Master